**Original Article** 

TIPS Trends in Phramaceutical Sciences 2016: 2(1): 59-76.

# The Hepatoprotection Provided by Taurine and Glycine against Antineoplastic Drugs Induced Liver Injury in an *Ex Vivo* Model of Normothermic Recirculating Isolated Perfused Rat Liver

Reza Heidari<sup>1</sup>, Akram Jamshidzadeh<sup>1,2</sup>, Hossein Niknahad<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Farshad Safari<sup>2</sup>, Hamdollah Azizi<sup>2</sup>, Narges Abdoli<sup>3</sup>, Mohammad Mehdi Ommati<sup>4,5</sup>, Forouzan Khodaei<sup>2</sup>, Arastoo Saeedi<sup>2</sup>, Asma Najibi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Pharmacology and Toxicology Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>Food and Drug Organization, Ministry of Health, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>4</sup>Center of Experimental & Comparative Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Animal Science, School of Agriculture, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran.

#### Abstract

Taurine (2-aminoethane sulfonic acid) is a non-protein amino acid found in high concentration in different tissues. Glycine (Amino acetic acid) is the simplest amino acid incorporated in the structure of proteins. Several investigations indicate the hepatoprotective properties of these amino acids. On the other hand, antineoplastic agents-induced serum transaminase elevation and liver injury is a clinical complication. The current investigation was designed to screen the possible hepatoprotective properties of taurine and glycine against antineoplastic drugs-induced hepatic injury in an ex vivo model of isolated perfused rat liver. Rat liver was perfused with different concentration (10  $\mu$ M, 100  $\mu$ M and 1000  $\mu$ M) of antineoplastic drugs (Mitoxantrone, Cyclophosphamide, Cisplatin, 5 Fluorouracil, Doxorubicin and Dacarbazine) via portal vein. Taurine and glycine were administered to drug-treated livers and liver perfusate samples were collected for biochemical measurements (ALT, LDH, AST, and K<sup>+</sup>). Markers of oxidative stress (reactive oxygen species formation, lipid peroxidation, total antioxidant capacity and glutathione) were also assessed in liver tissue. Antineoplastic drugs caused significant pathological changes in perfusate biochemistry. Furthermore, markers of oxidative stress were significantly elevated in drug treated livers. It was found that taurine (5 and 10 mM) and glycine (5 and 10 mM) administration significantly mitigated the biomarkers of liver injury and attenuated drug induced oxidative stress. Our data indicate that taurine and glycine supplementation might help as potential therapeutic options to encounter anticancer drugs-induced liver injury. Keywords: Amino acid, Chemotherapy, Cancer, Drug-Induced Liver Injury (DILI), Hepatoprotection, Hepatotoxicity.

# 1. Introduction

Drug-induced liver injury (DILI) is a clinical complication associated with many pharmaceuticals (1). Chemotherapeutic agents administered to treat different malignancies in humans are among the most cytotoxic drugs (2-5). These

Email: niknahadh@sums.ac.ir

drugs are also used as animal models of liver injury (6-8). Elevated serum transaminases is a common event ensued anticancer drugs administration (4, 9-11). On the other hand, several cases of anticancer drugs-induced liver injury have been reported (12-15). Chemotherapy-induced hepatotoxicity might lead to hepatic failure and patients' death (14, 16, 17). Hence, finding hepatoprotective molecules with a safe profile of administration has clinical benefits.

*Corresponding Author*: Hossein Niknahad, Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center and Pharmacology and Toxicology Department, School of Pharmacy, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

Taurine is one of the most abundant amino acid in the human body (18). Many physiological properties are attributed to this amino acid (19). Cell volume regulation, membrane stabilization, and antioxidant properties are important roles attributed to taurine in different biological systems (19-21). Taurine also showed several pharmacological properties including anti-emesis, gastroprotective, antiepileptic, and anti-inflammatory (22-26). On the other hand, taurine showed several beneficial properties in the liver and hepatocytes (27, 28). It has been reported that taurine administration could ameliorate xenobiotics-induced liver injury (29-42). Moreover, daily taurine intake in humans is high in some world regions and risk assessment investigation revealed that taurine is a very safe amino acid even at very high doses (43-45).

Glycine is the simplest amino acid incorporated in the structure of body proteins. Previous investigations revealed several beneficial effects of glycine in liver and hepatocytes (46-48), as well as other organs (49-52). It has been shown that this amino acid effectively mitigated hypoxia-induced liver injury and counteracted xenobiotics-induced hepatic damage (53, 54).

Isolated organs are intriguing animal models for screening adverse drug reactions (ADRs) and the potential therapeutic strategies against this complication (55). The isolated perfused liver is a useful and efficient model for investigating xenobiotics-induced liver injury (55-57). The isolated liver is useful for examining xenobiotics-induced liver injury without the complication of many interacting factors which are difficult to control in other experimental models (56, 57).

The current investigation aimed to evaluate and screen the potential protective properties of taurine and glycine against anticancer drugsinduced liver injury in an *ex vivo* model of isolated perfused rat liver.

	in in estigated and		nio in isolatoa po				
Markers assessed in liver perfusate							
Treatment	LDH (U/I)	AST (U/l)	ALT (U/l)	K <sup>+</sup> (mmol/dl)			
Control (Only buffer)	17±3	13±1	9±3	5.71±0.58			
Mitoxantrone 10 µM	26±5	29±11	15±3	4.78±0.22			
Mitoxantrone 100 µM	30±7	44±14 <sup>*</sup>	43±3*	6.44±0.13			
Mitoxantrone 1000 µM	190±15*	107±25*	$60 \pm 8^*$	$8.92{\pm}0.29^*$			
Cyclophosphamide 10 µM	25±2	35±3*	14±4	5.38±0.12			
Cyclophosphamide 100 µM	71±5*	$39\pm5^{*}$	18±5	5.86±0.24			
Cyclophosphamide 1000 µM	804±92 <sup>*</sup>	$102\pm 5^{*}$	$159 \pm 17^{*}$	7.88±0.42*			
Cisplatin 10 µM	37±3*	53±12*	23±3*	7.22±0.34*			
Cisplatin 100 µM	132±14*	149±22*	143±12*	8.65±0.49*			
Cisplatin 1000 µM	153±21*	199±14 <sup>*</sup>	$269 \pm 30^{*}$	$9.02{\pm}0.57^*$			
Dacarbazine 10 µM	24±10	$39 \pm 9^{*}$	11±1	4.65±0.13			
Dacarbazine 100 µM	$49 \pm 7^{*}$	29±11	12±1	5.43±0.093			
Dacarbazine 1000 µM	$670 \pm 100^{*}$	245±72*	132±30 <sup>*</sup>	8.79±0.14*			
5-FU 10 µM	24±6	13±4	15±2	$5.02 \pm 0.074$			
5-FU 100 μM	29±4	27±12	19±4	5.21±0.31			
5-FU 1000 μM	519±41 <sup>*</sup>	243±74*	34±4*	$7.69 \pm 0.22^{*}$			
Doxorubicin 10 µM	26±10	25±2	15±3	6.34±0.62			
Doxorubicin 100 µM	151±11*	98±14*	31±7*	$7.44{\pm}0.049^{*}$			
Doxorubicin 1000 μM	380±13*	$144 \pm 40^{*}$	48±5*	8.36±0.17*			

Table 1. Concentration-response of the investigated antineoplastic agents in isolated perfused rat liver system.

Data are represented as Mean $\pm$ SD (n=6) as assessed 120 minutes after liver perfusion. <sup>\*</sup>Indicates significantly higher as compared to control (only buffer group) (*P*<0.05).

# 2. Material and Methods

#### 2.1. Chemicals

5,5' dithionitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), 2-aminoethane sulfonic acid (Taurine), 2, 4, 6-tripyridyl-s-triazine (TPTZ), and 2',7'dichlorofluorescein diacetate (DCF-DA), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, USA). Thiobarbituric acid (TBA), Trichloroacetic acid (TCA), Ferric chloride hexahydrate, Glycine, n-butanol and hydroxy methyl amino methane (Tris), were purchased from Merck (Dardamstd, Germany). The kits for liver biochemistry analysis (ALT, AST and LDH) were obtained from Pars Azmun<sup>®</sup> Company (Tehran, Iran). All salts for preparing buffer solutions were of the highest grade commercially available and prepared from Merck (Dardamstd, Germany).

#### 2.2. Experimental setup

2.2.1. Isolated perfused rat liver preparation

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (200-300 g,

n=104) were purchased from the Laboratory Animals Breeding Center of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences and allowed free access to food and tap water. The animals were handled and used according to the animal handling protocol approved by the ethics committee of Faculty of Pharmacy. Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. Animals were anesthetized with thiopental (70 mg/kg, i.p.). Rats liver were cannulated and perfused via portal vein (57,58), with hemoglobin- and albumin-free Krebs Henseleit buffer (pH=7.4, 37 °C) gassed with carbogen (95%  $O_2$ , 5%  $CO_2$ ). The perfusate was pumped through the liver with a peristaltic pump at a constant flow rate of 3 mL/min/g liver weight, in a re-circulating mode. The perfusate buffer volume was 200 mL in all experiments.

# 2.2.2. Study procedure

Isolated rat liver was exposed to different concentrations of investigated antineoplastic



**Figure 1.** Reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation in the isolated perfused rat liver and effect of taurine treatment. Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. Tau: Taurine. Data are given as Mean $\pm$ SD (n=6). Asterisks indicate significantly different as compared to control group (\*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001). a Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001). b Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001).

drugs, taurine, and glycine for 180 minutes of organ perfusion. Hepatic injury was determined at scheduled time intervals (every 30 minutes) to evaluate the effects of the various concentrations of drugs on the liver. The injurious concentration of a drug was reported as a concentration value, which lead to a significant rise in all assessed biomarkers of liver injury after 120 minutes of organ perfusion. Samples were taken from liver perfusate at different times and assessed for biomarkers of liver injury. At the end of each experiment (180 minutes), liver samples were used to assess tissue lipid peroxidation, glutathione content, ROS formation, and total antioxidant capacity. Taurine (5 and 10 mM) and glycine (5 and 10 mM) caused no significant changes in the liver injury biomark-

Table 2. Perfusate LDH level after drug administration to isolated rat liver and the role of taurine administration.

		Perfus	ate LDH Leve	l (U/l)		
	Time (minute)					
	30	60	90	120	150	180
Control (Only buffer)	1±0.3	5±2	10±2	15±3	21±3	29±2
Mitox. 1000 µM	34±2*	$78\pm8$ *	$107 \pm 19^{*}$	190±15*	260±27*	326±54*
+Taurine 5 mM	2±0.5 a	6±1 <sup>a</sup>	8±2 <sup>a</sup>	21±8 <sup>a</sup>	33±7 <sup>a</sup>	41±6 <sup>a</sup>
+Taurine 10 mM	2±0.6 a	8±3 <sup>a</sup>	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	17±6 <sup>a</sup>	27±4 <sup>a</sup>	47±3 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 5 mM	3±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	11±3 <sup>a</sup>	14±5 <sup>a</sup>	21±2 <sup>a</sup>	26±7 <sup>a</sup>	31±4 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 10 mM	2±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	10±2 <sup>a</sup>	15±6 <sup>a</sup>	22±7 <sup>a</sup>	35±4 <sup>a</sup>	46±5 <sup>a</sup>
Cytox. 1000 µM	91±9*	211±36*	311±15*	804±92*	1198±98 <sup>*</sup>	1125±174 <sup>*</sup>
+Taurine 5 mM	27±6 <sup>a</sup>	65±8 <sup>a</sup>	163±27 <sup>a</sup>	253±34 <sup>a</sup>	489±86 <sup>a</sup>	762±64 <sup>a</sup>
+Taurine 10 mM	15±4v	74±12 a	132±21 <sup>a</sup>	304±22 <sup>a</sup>	387±63 <sup>a</sup>	646±75 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 5 mM	25±7 <sup>a</sup>	89±31 a	183±26 <sup>a</sup>	452±74 <sup>a</sup>	577±44 <sup>a</sup>	802±93 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 10 mM	30±5 <sup>a</sup>	104±21 a	215±32 <sup>a</sup>	571±45 <sup>a</sup>	628±57 <sup>a</sup>	832±61 <sup>a</sup>
Cisplatin 1000 µM	42±3*	57±6*	90±11*	153±21*	215±32*	297±25*
+Taurine 5 mM	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	23±5 a	46±11 <sup>a</sup>	77±9 <sup>a</sup>	107±11 <sup>a</sup>	122±11 <sup>a</sup>
+Taurine 10 mM	13±2 <sup>a</sup>	26±8 a	39±10 <sup>a</sup>	51±7 <sup>a</sup>	84±12 <sup>a</sup>	109±21 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 5 mM	15±4 <sup>a</sup>	31±12 a	45±12 <sup>a</sup>	66±9 <sup>a</sup>	92±14 <sup>a</sup>	132±13 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 10 mM	11±3 <sup>a</sup>	22±7 a	63±14 <sup>a</sup>	78±6 <sup>a</sup>	114±17 <sup>a</sup>	148±14 <sup>a</sup>
DTIC 1000 μM	41±4*	$300\pm57^{*}$	495±74*	670±99*	$1800 \pm 304^*$	2017±372*
+Taurine 5 mM	8±2 <sup>a</sup>	21±4 a	43±5 <sup>a</sup>	58±7 <sup>a</sup>	69±11 <sup>a</sup>	149±17 <sup>a</sup>
+Taurine 10 mM	14±2 <sup>a</sup>	30±9 a	39±11 <sup>a</sup>	77±13 <sup>a</sup>	93±15 <sup>a</sup>	171±21 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 5 mM	14±3 <sup>a</sup>	17±3 a	29±10 <sup>a</sup>	33±14 <sup>a</sup>	35±9 <sup>a</sup>	98±16 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 10 mM	12±3 <sup>a</sup>	21±4 a	23±7 <sup>a</sup>	47±11 <sup>a</sup>	77±21 <sup>a</sup>	102±29 <sup>a</sup>
5-FU 1000 μM	143±24*	228±14*	415±27*	519±41*	679±53*	$789 \pm 101^{*}$
+Taurine 5 mM	41±3 <sup>a</sup>	55±4 a	76±11 <sup>a</sup>	131±17 <sup>a</sup>	244±22 <sup>a</sup>	322±34 <sup>a</sup>
+Taurine 10 mM	43±11 <sup>a</sup>	48±14 a	61±12 <sup>a</sup>	99±12 <sup>a</sup>	127±16 <sup>a</sup>	168±13 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 5 mM	13±3 <sup>a</sup>	22±9 a	27±3 <sup>a</sup>	48±11 <sup>a</sup>	93±23 <sup>a</sup>	182±22 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 10 mM	17±4 <sup>a</sup>	23±4 a	29±11 <sup>a</sup>	62±22 <sup>a</sup>	88±21 <sup>a</sup>	134±34 <sup>a</sup>
Dox. 100 μM	48±13*	172±7*	235±11*	380±13*	441±67*	576±69*
+Taurine 5 mM	12±3 <sup>a</sup>	23±3 a	29±12 <sup>a</sup>	77±4 <sup>a</sup>	98±7 <sup>a</sup>	141±18 <sup>a</sup>
+Taurine 10 mM	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	15±4 a	21±4 <sup>a</sup>	34±11 <sup>a</sup>	45±3 <sup>a</sup>	107±13 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 5 mM	7±3 <sup>a</sup>	9±2 a	13±4 <sup>a</sup>	24±4 <sup>a</sup>	38±11 <sup>a</sup>	51±9 <sup>a</sup>
+Glycine 10 mM	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	16±2 a	21±6 <sup>a</sup>	36±2 <sup>a</sup>	44±13 <sup>a</sup>	62±19 <sup>a</sup>

Data are given as Mean±SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*Indicates significantly higher as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.05). \*Indicates significantly lower as compared with mitoxantrone-treated liver (P<0.05). Effect of taurine and glycine on the hepatotoxicity of anticancer drugs



**Figure 2.** Effect of glycine administration on reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation in isolated perfused rat liver. Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluoro Uracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. Glyc: Glycine. Data are given as Mean $\pm$ SD (n=6). Asterisks indicate significantly different as compared to control group (\*\*P<0.01, \*\*\* P<0.001). a Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001). b Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001).

ers when they were administered alone at the mentioned concentrations in the current investigation.

# 2.3. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation in liver tissue

ROS level in liver tissue was estimated by a method described by Gupta *et al* (59), with some modifications. Briefly, at the end of each experiment (after 180 minutes of organ perfusion), liver samples (200 mg) were homogenized in ice-cold Tris-HCl buffer (40 mM, pH=7.4) (1:10 w/v). Then, 100  $\mu$ L of tissue homogenate was mixed with 1 mL of Tris-HCl buffer (40 mM, pH=7.4) and 5  $\mu$ L of 2', 7' dichlorofluorescein diacetate (Final concentration of 10  $\mu$ M). The mixture was incubated for 30 minutes in 37 °C. Finally, the fluorescence intensity of the samples were assessed using a FLUOstar Omega<sup>®</sup> multifunctional microplate reader  $(\lambda_{\text{excitation}}=485 \text{ nm and } \lambda_{\text{emission}}=525 \text{ nm})$  (60, 61).

#### 2.4. Liver glutathione content

The glutathione contents of the liver were assessed using the Ellman reagent (DTNB) (62). Briefly, tissue samples (200 mg) were homogenized in 8 ml of ice-cooled EDTA solution (0.02 M). Then, 5 mL of liver homogenate was mixed with 4 mL of distilled water and 1 mL of 50% trichloroacetic acid (TCA). The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged (765 g, 15 minutes, 4 °C) (63, 64). Then, 2 mL of supernatant was added to 4 mL of Tris buffer (pH= 8.9) and 100  $\mu$ l of DTNB solution (0.01 M in methanol) (62). The absorbance



**Figure 3.** Effect of taurine on the total antioxidant capacity of isolated perfused liver. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. Tau: Taurine. Data are shown as Mean±SD (n=6). Asterisks indicate significantly different as compared to control group (\*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001). a Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001). b Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.01). c Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.05). ns: not significant as compared to control (P>0.05).

of developed yellow color was read at 412 nm using an Ultrospec 2000<sup>®</sup>UV spectrophotometer.

# 2.5. Lipid peroxidation

The level of lipid peroxidation in the isolated perfused liver was assessed by thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) test (66). The reaction mixture consisted of 0.5 mL of 10% liver homogenate, 3 ml phosphoric acid 1% (w/v) and 1 mL of 1% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid (TBA) (63, 64, 67). The mixture was vortexed and then heated in boiling water (100 °C) for 45 minutes. Afterward, 4 mL of n-butanol was added to reaction mixture and vigorously mixed. After centrifugation (765 g, 5 min), the absorbance of developed color in n butanol phase was read at 532 nm using an Ultrospec 2000<sup>®</sup>UV spectrophotometer (66).

# 2.6. Total antioxidant capacity of liver

The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) of liver tissue was assessed in each experimental group. The working FRAP reagent was



Effect of taurine and glycine on the hepatotoxicity of anticancer drugs

**Figure 4.** Effect of glycine administration on the total antioxidant capacity of isolated perfused rat liver. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. Tau: Taurine. Data are represented as Mean $\pm$ SD (n=6). Asterisks indicate significantly different as compared to control group (\*\*P<0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001). a Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001). b Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001). b Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.001). c Indicates significantly different as compared to antineoplastic drug-treated group (P<0.05). ns: not statistically significant as compared to control (Only buffer group)(P>0.05).

prepared by mixing 10 volumes of 300 mmol/L acetate buffer, pH 3.6, with 1 volume of 10 mmol/L TPTZ (2, 4, 6-tripyridyl-s-triazine, in 40 mmol/L hydrochloric acid) and with 1 volume of 20 mmol/L ferric chloride. All solutions were used on the day of the experiment. Liver tissue was homogenized in cooled Tris buffer (0.25M, containing 0.2M sucrose and 5mM DTT, pH 7.4). Then, 50  $\mu$ L of tissue homogenate and 150  $\mu$ L of deionized water was added to 1.5 mL of the FRAP re-

agent. The reaction mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 5 minutes. Finally, samples were centrifuged (1000 g, 1 min) and the absorbance of developed color in the supernatant was measured at 595 nm by an Ultrospec2000<sup>®</sup> spectrophotometer (68).

#### 2.7. Perfusate biochemistry

A Mindray BS-200® auto analyzer and standard kits were employed to assess liver perfusate level of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspar-



Figure 5. Effect of antineoplastic agents on hepatic glutathione content.

Data are given as Mean $\pm$ SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-fluoro uracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. All antineoplastic agents significantly depleted hepatic glutathione content at mentioned doses (P<0.001). ns: not significant as compared to control (P>0.05).

tate aminotransferase (AST), and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (69). Perfusate potassium ion ( $K^+$ ) level was measured using a flame photometer.

#### 2.8. Statistical analysis

Data are given as the Mean±SD. Commercially available software GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Prism 6 for Windows, version 6.01) was used for statistical evaluation. Data comparison was performed by the one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's multiple comparison test as a post hoc. Differences were considered statistically significant when P<0.05.

#### 3. Results

Isolated rat liver was perfused with different concentrations of anticancer drugs (Table 1). It was found that cisplatin; cyclophosphamide, mitoxantrone, dacarbazine and 5-FU caused a significant elevation in all assessed biomarkers of liver injury in the concentration of 1000  $\mu$ M, after 120 minutes of liver perfusion (Table 1). Doxorubicin caused significant changes in biomarkers of liver injury at the concentration of 100  $\mu$ M (Table 1).



**Figure 6.** Effect of taurine administration on the liver glutathione content of antineoplastic treated groups. Data are given as Mean±SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-fluoro uracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*\*\*Indicates significantly different as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.001). a Indicates significantly lower as compared with drug-treated liver (P<0.001). b Indicates significantly different from drug-treated group (P<0.01). c Indicates significantly different from drug-treated group (P<0.05). ns: no significant difference as compared to drug-treated group (P>0.05).

Effect of taurine and glycine on the hepatotoxicity of anticancer drugs

Table 5. Erver perfusate AET rever and the fore of givenic and taufine administration.								
Liver Perfusate ALI' Level (U/I)								
Time (minute):	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Control (Only buffer)	3±1	5±1	7±1	10±3	18±2	24±3		
Mitox. 1000 µM	17±2*	15±4*	42±7*	60±8*	76±9*	91±13*		
+Taurine 5 mM	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	7±1	17±4 <sup>a</sup>	19±6 <sup>a</sup>	28±4 <sup>a</sup>	32±2 <sup>a</sup>		
+Taurine 10 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	6±2	15±3 <sup>a</sup>	22±1 <sup>a</sup>	34±6 <sup>a</sup>	39±7 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 5 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	8±2	19±3 <sup>a</sup>	34±4 <sup>a</sup>	46±4 <sup>a</sup>	55±6 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 10 mM	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	7±2	11±4 <sup>a</sup>	19±4 <sup>a</sup>	25±6 <sup>a</sup>	41±6 <sup>a</sup>		
Cytox. 1000 µM	18±3*	25±3*	52±5*	159±17*	375±26*	439±22*		
+Taurine 5 mM	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	7±2 <sup>a</sup>	19±3 <sup>a</sup>	77±11 <sup>a</sup>	155±23 <sup>a</sup>	209±24 <sup>a</sup>		
+Taurine 10 mM	5±1 <sup>a</sup>	8±3 <sup>a</sup>	11±4 <sup>a</sup>	89±12 <sup>a</sup>	211±27 <sup>a</sup>	247±22 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 5 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	27±2 <sup>a</sup>	76±6 <sup>a</sup>	253±31 <sup>a</sup>	294±17 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 10 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	17±4 <sup>a</sup>	22±7 <sup>a</sup>	63±11 <sup>a</sup>	206±22 <sup>a</sup>	247±39 <sup>a</sup>		
Cisplatin 1000 µM	23±2*	78±17*	177±22*	269±30*	308±22*	377±29*		
+Taurine 5 mM	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	12±3 <sup>a</sup>	19±4 <sup>a</sup>	56±12 <sup>a</sup>	78±9 <sup>a</sup>	109±17 <sup>a</sup>		
+Taurine 10 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	16±5 <sup>a</sup>	25±3 <sup>a</sup>	44±9 <sup>a</sup>	57±11 <sup>a</sup>	77±15 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 5 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	$11\pm4^{a}$	37±11 <sup>a</sup>	76±14 <sup>a</sup>	124±22 <sup>a</sup>	177±19 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 10 mM	5±1 <sup>a</sup>	19±6 <sup>a</sup>	49±11 <sup>a</sup>	72±15 <sup>a</sup>	147±11 <sup>a</sup>	169±29 <sup>a</sup>		
DTIC 1000 μM	35±4*	85±14*	106±32*	132±29*	177±12*	217±5*		
+Taurine 5 mM	22±2 <sup>a</sup>	39±3 <sup>a</sup>	67±11 <sup>a</sup>	79±17 <sup>a</sup>	83±4 <sup>a</sup>	101±16 <sup>a</sup>		
+Taurine 10 mM	14±3 <sup>a</sup>	55±6 <sup>a</sup>	67±7 <sup>a</sup>	79±11 <sup>a</sup>	88±9 <sup>a</sup>	111±4 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 5 mM	21±2 <sup>a</sup>	33±4 <sup>a</sup>	38±4 <sup>a</sup>	41±7 <sup>a</sup>	76±10 <sup>a</sup>	97±11 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 10 mM	17±3 <sup>a</sup>	22±2 <sup>a</sup>	34±3 <sup>a</sup>	39±3 <sup>a</sup>	45±5 <sup>a</sup>	51±12 <sup>a</sup>		
5-FU 1000 μM	10±1*	29±4*	39±4*	34±4*	44±7*	52±7*		
+Taurine 5 mM	7±2 <sup>a</sup>	11±1 <sup>a</sup>	13±1 <sup>a</sup>	17±3 <sup>a</sup>	23±2 <sup>a</sup>	34±4 <sup>a</sup>		
+Taurine 10 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	10±2 <sup>a</sup>	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	16±2 <sup>a</sup>	21±4 <sup>a</sup>	26±2 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 5 mM	5±1	7±1 <sup>a</sup>	13±3 <sup>a</sup>	14±5 <sup>a</sup>	21±3 <sup>a</sup>	39±3 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 10 mM	7±2	11±3 <sup>a</sup>	16±5 <sup>a</sup>	19±2 <sup>a</sup>	24±3 <sup>a</sup>	33±3 <sup>a</sup>		
Dox. 100 μM	7±3*	15±5*	23±7*	31±8*	37±9*	42±14*		
+Taurine 5 mM	2±0.6	5±2 <sup>a</sup>	7±3 <sup>a</sup>	12±3 <sup>a</sup>	14±3 <sup>a</sup>	20±3 <sup>a</sup>		
+Taurine 10 mM	1±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	11±3 <sup>a</sup>	13±2 <sup>a</sup>	22±2 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 5 mM	3±1	5±1 <sup>a</sup>	7±1 <sup>a</sup>	7±1 <sup>a</sup>	14±3 <sup>a</sup>	17±3 <sup>a</sup>		
+Glycine 10 mM	6±2	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	8±2 <sup>a</sup>	10±1 <sup>a</sup>	11±1 <sup>a</sup>	21±2 <sup>a</sup>		

Table 3. Liver perfusate ALT level and the role of glycine and taurine administration.

Data are given as Mean±SD for six independent experiments. Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*Indicates significantly higher as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.05). <sup>a</sup>Indicates significantly lower as compared with antineoplastic drugstreated liver (P<0.05).

The injurious concentrations of anticancer drugs in the current *ex vivo* system were selected for further experiments.

All investigated drugs caused significant LDH leakage from the liver at different time intervals (Table 2). It was found that taurine (5 mM and 10 mM) and glycine (5 mM and 10 mM) significantly ameliorated drugs-induced organ LDH

release (Table 2). Perfusate level of ALT was also significantly higher in anticancer drugs-treated liver at different time points (Table 3). Taurine (5 and 10 mM) and glycine (5 and 10 mM) administration significantly mitigated antineoplastic drugs-induced ALT release in isolated rat liver (Table 3). An elevated perfusate AST level was detected when rat liver was treated with antineo-



**Figure 7.** Effect of glycine administration on liver glutathione content of antineoplastic-treated groups. Data are given as Mean±SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-fluoro uracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*\*\*Indicates significantly different as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.001). a Indicates significantly lower as compared with drug-treated liver (P<0.001). b Indicates significantly different from drug-treated group (P<0.01). c Indicates significantly different from drug-treated group (P<0.05).

plastic drugs (Table 4). It was found that taurine and/or glycine effectively ameliorated anticancerinduced AST release in different groups (Table 4).

A significant amount of  $K^+$  ion was released to the liver perfusate when the liver was

treated with different concentrations of antineoplastic drugs (Table 5). Taurine (5 and 10 mM) and glycine (5 and 10 mM) significantly prevented antineoplastics-induced  $K^+$  release to liver perfusate (Table 5).



**Figure 8.** Effect of antineoplastic agents on liver lipid peroxidation. Data are given as Mean±SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-fluoro uracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*\*\*Indicates significantly different as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.001). \*\*Indicates significantly different as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.001). ns: no significant difference as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.05).

Effect of taurine and glycine on the hepatotoxicity of anticancer drugs

Table 4. Ferrusate AST level III	Isolateu la	t liver mode		antineopiastic d	nugs, taurme	and gryenne.	
Liver Perfusate AST level (U/I)							
Time (minute):	30	60	90	120	150	180	
Control (Only buffer)	5±1	9±3	9±1	13±2	27±3	37±5	
Mitox. 1000 μM	14±4	50±12*	74±18*	107±25*	145±36*	183±45*	
+Taurine 5 mM	2±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	11±4 <sup>a</sup>	17±8 <sup>a</sup>	26±3 <sup>a</sup>	34±9 <sup>a</sup>	51±6 <sup>a</sup>	
+Taurine 10 mM	4±1	9±2 <sup>a</sup>	13±2 <sup>a</sup>	26±7 <sup>a</sup>	39±4 <sup>a</sup>	45±4 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 5 mM	2±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	6±1 <sup>a</sup>	21±7 <sup>a</sup>	35±4 <sup>a</sup>	51±3 <sup>a</sup>	64±7 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 10 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	17±3 <sup>a</sup>	23±6	37±4 <sup>a</sup>	45±3 <sup>a</sup>	
Cytox. 1000 µM	17±1*	27±6*	48±8*	102±5*	206±17*	270±14*	
+Taurine 5 mM	6±2 <sup>a</sup>	11±4 <sup>a</sup>	21±6 <sup>a</sup>	65±6 <sup>a</sup>	121±17 <sup>a</sup>	145±11 <sup>a</sup>	
+Taurine 10 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	9±4 <sup>a</sup>	22±5 <sup>a</sup>	37±11 <sup>a</sup>	79±14 <sup>a</sup>	123±9 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 5 mM	7±2 <sup>a</sup>	13±4 <sup>a</sup>	38±13 <sup>a</sup>	82±11	130±24 <sup>a</sup>	162±21 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 10 mM	4±1 <sup>a</sup>	11±3 <sup>a</sup>	33±9 <sup>a</sup>	75±6 <sup>a</sup>	133±31 <sup>a</sup>	179±21 <sup>a</sup>	
Cisplatin 1000 µM	31±3*	64±7*	146±19*	199±14*	239±21*	257±11*	
+Taurine 5 mM	9±2 <sup>a</sup>	15±4 <sup>a</sup>	21±6 <sup>a</sup>	77±11 <sup>a</sup>	94±6 <sup>a</sup>	141±22 <sup>a</sup>	
+Taurine 10 mM	6±2 <sup>a</sup>	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	32±6 <sup>a</sup>	65±4 <sup>a</sup>	77±19 <sup>a</sup>	104±11 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 5 mM	7±2 <sup>a</sup>	11±5 <sup>a</sup>	21±4 <sup>a</sup>	65±12 <sup>a</sup>	83±12 <sup>a</sup>	111±22 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 10 mM	3±1 <sup>a</sup>	12±4 <sup>a</sup>	31±9 <sup>a</sup>	46±11 <sup>a</sup>	78±19 <sup>a</sup>	141±34 <sup>a</sup>	
DTIC 1000 μM	95±3*	105±13*	150±42*	245±72*	311±89*	478±137*	
+Taurine 5 mM	11±1 <sup>a</sup>	20±1 <sup>a</sup>	29±3 <sup>a</sup>	37±4 <sup>a</sup>	44±12 <sup>a</sup>	56±15 <sup>a</sup>	
+Taurine 10 mM	$12\pm 2^{a}$	15±2 <sup>a</sup>	32±3 <sup>a</sup>	45±12 <sup>a</sup>	71±9 <sup>a</sup>	108±21 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 5 mM	14±3 <sup>a</sup>	17±3 <sup>a</sup>	29±10 <sup>a</sup>	33±14 <sup>a</sup>	35±9 <sup>a</sup>	98±16 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 10 mM	12±3 <sup>a</sup>	21±4 <sup>a</sup>	23±7 <sup>a</sup>	47±11 <sup>a</sup>	77±21 <sup>a</sup>	102±29 <sup>a</sup>	
5-FU 1000 μM	66±24*	126±47*	220±71*	243±74*	313±98*	427±117*	
+Taurine 5 mM	21±11 <sup>a</sup>	32±9 <sup>a</sup>	39±9 <sup>a</sup>	47±12 <sup>a</sup>	78±22 <sup>a</sup>	131±34 <sup>a</sup>	
+Taurine 10 mM	34±9 <sup>a</sup>	55±17 <sup>a</sup>	67±12 <sup>a</sup>	109±34 <sup>a</sup>	121±21 <sup>a</sup>	174±29 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 5 mM	13±3 <sup>a</sup>	22±9 <sup>a</sup>	27±3 <sup>a</sup>	48±11 <sup>a</sup>	93±23 <sup>a</sup>	182±22 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 10 mM	17±4 <sup>a</sup>	23±4 <sup>a</sup>	29±11 <sup>a</sup>	62±22 <sup>a</sup>	88±21 <sup>a</sup>	134±34 <sup>a</sup>	
Dox. 100 μM	31±4*	63±9*	87±12*	98±14*	113±13*	130±16*	
+Taurine 5 mM	11±4 <sup>a</sup>	17±3 <sup>a</sup>	23±3 <sup>a</sup>	44±11 <sup>a</sup>	51±4 <sup>a</sup>	72±16 <sup>a</sup>	
+Taurine 5 mM	16±3 <sup>a</sup>	19±2 <sup>a</sup>	27±2 <sup>a</sup>	39±10 <sup>a</sup>	44±9 <sup>a</sup>	91±21	
+Glycine 5 mM	7±3 <sup>a</sup>	9±2 <sup>a</sup>	13±4 <sup>a</sup>	24±4 <sup>a</sup>	38±11 <sup>a</sup>	51±9 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 10 mM	11±2 <sup>a</sup>	16±2 <sup>a</sup>	21±6 <sup>a</sup>	36±2 <sup>a</sup>	44±13 <sup>a</sup>	62±19 <sup>a</sup>	

Table 4. Perfusate AST level in isolated rat liver model treated with antineoplastic drugs, taurine and glycine.

Data are given as Mean±SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*Indicates significantly higher as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.05). <sup>a</sup>Indicates significantly lower as compared with only anticancer agent treated isolated liver (P<0.05).

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation assessment in the liver tissue revealed a high level of ROS in drug-treated livers (Figure 1). Tissue ROS formation was significantly lowered when isolated livers were treated with taurine and/or glycine (Figure 1 and 2). The antioxidant capacity of liver tissue was significantly lower in drug-treated groups (Figure 3 and 4). On the other hand, the total antioxidant capacity of the liver tissue was significantly improved when rats liver were treated with taurine (5 mM and 10 mM) and/or glycine (5 mM and 10 mM) (Figure 3 and 4).

Liver glutathione content was lower in anticancer drugs-treated groups (Figure 5). Taurine and glycine administration significantly prevented anticancer drugs-induced liver gluta-



**Figure 9.** The role of taurine administration on antineoplastic agents-induced lipid peroxidation in the isolated perfused rat liver. Data are shown as Mean $\pm$ SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*Indicates significantly higher as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.05). a Indicates significantly lower as compared with anticancer drugs-treated liver (P<0.05).

thione depletion (Figure 6 and 7). A significant amount of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS), as an index of tissue lipid peroxidation, were formed in antineoplastic drugs-treated perfused liver (Figure 8). Administration of taurine (5 and 10 mM) and glycine (5and 10 mM) to anticancer drugs-treated groups ameliorated lipid peroxidation of liver tissue (Figure 9 and 10). **4. Discussion** 

Chemotherapy-associated hepatotoxicity is a clinical complication. There is no safe and promising protective agent against chemotherapy drugs-induced liver injury. The current investigation aimed to screen the potential protective properties of the amino acids taurine and glycine against different commonly administered cancer chemotherapy drugs.

Taurine is present in the human body at high concentrations (69). The beneficial effects of taurine in liver and its protective properties have been shown in several previous investigations (34, 37, 39, 70-74). Several pharmacological effects including membrane stabilization and antioxidant effects are attributed to taurine (22, 75). The excessive reactive oxygen species and oxidative stress is believed to be involved in chemotherapyinduced hepatotoxicity (11, 14). Dacarbazine, cyclophosphamide, cisplatin, and mitoxantrone are



**Figure 10.** The effect of glycine administration on antineoplastic agents-induced lipid peroxidation in the isolated perfused rat liver. Data are given as Mean±SD (n=6). Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-fluoro uracil. Dox. Doxorubicin. \*Indicates significantly higher as compared to control (only buffer group) (P<0.05). a Indicates significantly lower as compared with drug-treated liver (P<0.05).

Effect of taurine as	nd glycine o	n the hepatotoxici	y of anticancer	drugs
----------------------	--------------	--------------------	-----------------	-------

Table 5. Perfusate potassium (K <sup>+</sup> ) level.							
Liver Perfusate K <sup>+</sup> Level (mmol/dl)							
Time (minute):	30	60	90	120	150	180	
Control (Only buffer)	4.35±0.25	5.08±0.38	5.64±0.11	5.71±0.58	5.94±0.10	6.08±0.21	
Mitox. 1000 µM	5.31±0.16*	6.89±0.24*	7.94±0.13*	8.92±0.29*	9.35±0.16*	11.22±0.25*	
+Taurine 5 mM	4.97±0.22	5.69±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	$6.33 \pm 0.07^{a}$	6.59±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	7.08±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	$7.45 \pm 0.22^{a}$	
+Taurine 10 mM	$4.08 \pm 0.15^{a}$	4.33±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.56±0.27 <sup>a</sup>	6.04±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	$6.34 \pm 0.07^{a}$	6.89±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 5 mM	4.56±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.21±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	$5.76 \pm 0.10^{a}$	$6.43 \pm 0.22^{a}$	$7.89 \pm 0.34^{a}$	$8.08 \pm 0.16^{a}$	
+Glycine 10 mM	4.89±0.23	5.02±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	$6.34{\pm}0.08^{a}$	$6.88 \pm 0.19^{a}$	$7.39 \pm 0.33^{a}$	$8.66 \pm 0.40^{a}$	
Cytox. 1000 µM	5.96±0.28	6.44±0.63 *	7.29±11 *	7.88±0.42*	8.51±0.47*	9.40±0.23*	
+Taurine 5 mM	4.28±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	4.96±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	5.78±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	$6.04{\pm}0.07^{a}$	6.23±0.31 <sup>a</sup>	$6.92{\pm}0.46^{a}$	
+Taurine 10 mM	4.22±0.41 <sup>a</sup>	4.67±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	4.96±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	5.11±0.37 <sup>a</sup>	$5.28 \pm 0.30^{a}$	$6.02 \pm 0.12^{a}$	
+Glycine 5 mM	5.28±0.19	5.57±0.28 <sup>a</sup>	5.89±0.42 <sup>a</sup>	$6.05 \pm 0.20^{a}$	6.29±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	$6.74 \pm 0.27^{a}$	
+Glycine 10 mM	4.76±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	5.44±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	5.65±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	6.21±0.31 <sup>a</sup>	$7.02 \pm 0.44^{a}$	$7.33 \pm 0.13^{a}$	
Cisplatin 1000 µM	6.23±0.51*	8.07±0.35*	8.44±0.15*	9.02±0.57*	9.38±0.28*	10.04±0.19*	
+Taurine 5 mM	4.89±0.32	5.33±0.64 <sup>a</sup>	$5.67 \pm 0.50^{a}$	$6.28 \pm 0.22^{a}$	6.76±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	$7.44 \pm 0.35^{a}$	
+Taurine 10 mM	$4.52 \pm 0.09^{a}$	4.88±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	5.63±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	5.92±30 <sup>a</sup>	6.27±0.35 <sup>a</sup>	$6.64 \pm 0.22^{a}$	
+Glycine 5 mM	$5.01 \pm 0.27^{a}$	5.32±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	$6.21 \pm 0.27^{a}$	6.89±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	$7.05\pm0.49^{a}$	$7.48 \pm 0.12^{a}$	
+Glycine 10 mM	4.23±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	4.47±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	$5.88 \pm 0.47^{a}$	$6.34{\pm}0.28^{a}$	$6.58 \pm 0.22^{a}$	$7.09{\pm}0.17^{a}$	
DTIC 1000 µM	6.20±0.22*	6.89±0.48*	7.47±0.33*	8.79±0.14*	9.24±0.11*	10.71±0.59*	
+Taurine 5 mM	$5.21 \pm 0.04^{a}$	5.77±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	$6.02 \pm 0.16^{a}$	6.59±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	7.27±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	$8.06 \pm 0.50^{a}$	
+Taurine 10 mM	$5.02 \pm 0.12^{a}$	5.69±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	$6.34 \pm 0.10^{a}$	$6.88 \pm 0.27^{a}$	$7.45 \pm 0.20^{a}$	$8.11 \pm 0.20^{a}$	
+Glycine 5 mM	4.38±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	5.22±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	5.79±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	$6.04{\pm}0.49^{a}$	$7.11 \pm 0.07^{a}$	$7.56 \pm 0.15^{a}$	
+Glycine 10 mM	4.77±0.34 <sup>a</sup>	5.79±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	5.90±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	6.16±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	6.33±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	$7.09{\pm}0.17^{a}$	
5-FU 1000 µM	5.88±0.29*	6.92±0.55*	7.35±0.19*	7.69±0.22*	8.12±0.32*	8.96±0.40*	
+Taurine 5 mM	4.92±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	5.33±0.14 <sup>a</sup>	5.40±0.36 <sup>a</sup>	$5.78 \pm 0.48^{a}$	$6.15 \pm 0.04^{a}$	$6.23 \pm 0.17^{a}$	
+Taurine 10 mM	$5.31 \pm 0.10^{a}$	5.76±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	6.22±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	6.70±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	6.95±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	$7.14 \pm 0.20^{a}$	
+Glycine 5 mM	5.24±0.31	5.41±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	$6.58 \pm 0.10^{a}$	7.34±0.11	$7.58 \pm 0.05^{a}$	$8.11 \pm 0.03^{a}$	
+Glycine 10 mM	$4.80 \pm 0.47$	5.08±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	5.44±0.51 <sup>a</sup>	$6.03 \pm 0.07^{a}$	$7.68 \pm 0.29^{a}$	$7.94{\pm}0.43^{a}$	
Dox. 100 µM	4.71±0.25*	6.01±0.14*	6.39±0.61*	7.44±0.04*	8.39±0.08*	8.74±0.11*	
+Taurine 5 mM	4.39±0.51	4.91±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	5.18±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.39±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	6.00±0.67 <sup>a</sup>	$6.58 \pm 0.48^{a}$	
+Taurine 10 mM	4.79±0.24	5.13±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	$5.01 \pm 0.04^{a}$	5.44±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.90±0.30 <sup>a</sup>	6.33±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	
+Glycine 5 mM	4.44±0.12	4.89±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	5.20±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	$5.61 \pm 0.44^{a}$	$6.12 \pm 0.02^{a}$	$6.49 \pm 0.15^{a}$	
+Glycine 10 mM	4.97±0.20	5.69±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	6.77±0.05	7.34±0.23	7.21±0.10 <sup>a</sup>	8.23±0.22	

Table 5.	Perfusate	potassium	$(\mathbf{K}^+)$	) level.
		D C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C		,

Data are given as Mean±SD for six independent experiments. Mitox. Mitoxantrone. Cytox: Cytoxan, Cyclophosphamide. DTIC: Dacarbazine. 5-FU: 5-Fluorouracil. Dox. Doxorubicin.

\*Indicates significantly different as compared to control (only buffer) group (P<0.05).

<sup>a</sup>Indicates significantly lower as compared with antineoplastic drug-treated liver (P<0.05).

converted to reactive intermediates in liver which are capable to induce oxidative stress and cellular injury (76-80). As taurine serves as an antioxidant agent (81-84), a large part of its protective properties against chemotherapy-induced liver injury might be mediated through its effect of antioxidant enzymes and preventing biomembranes destruction (42, 85).

Potassium is the most abundant intracellular ion. As taurine and glycine prevented antineoplastic drugs induced increase in perfusate level of K<sup>+</sup>, this might indicate their role in preserving cell membrane integrity.

It has been shown that glycine protected the liver from injury in various models (86-88). It has been reported that glycine ameliorated iri-



Figure 11. Taurine and glycine encounter xenobiotics-induced oxidative stress and protect the liver. OH :Hydroxyl radical,  $O_2^-$ :Superoxide anion,  $H_2O_2$ :Hydrogen peroxide.

CH : Malondialdehyde.

ĊΗ

notecan, 5-FU and oxaliplatin adverse effects in an animal model (89). This indicates the potential protective effects of this amino acid against cancer chemotherapy adverse effects (89). We found that glycine not only ameliorated 5-FU adverse effects toward liver but also significantly mitigated other antineoplastic drugs-induced liver injury.

It has been shown that taurine administration not only caused no significant changes in the antineoplastic pharmacological effects, but also hasten the anticancer effects of some chemotherapy drugs (90). On the other hand, it has been reported that taurine level is significantly decreased in several tissues after cancer chemotherapy (91). As taurine serves as a protective agent in many tissues (18, 20), its depletion by chemotherapy (91), might sensitize different organs to injury. Some investigators indicated the beneficial effects of taurine against nausea and emesis as a common complication associated with cancer chemotherapy (23). These investigations indicate that taurine and glycine supplementation might help as potential safe adjuvant therapy to encounter anticancer drugs-induced tissue injury in addition of chemotherapy adverse effects in patients.

Some investigations indicated the beneficial role of other amino acids such as glutamine against chemotherapy toxicity (92). Although not evaluated in the current investigation, a cocktail of amino acids such as glycine, taurine and glutamine might be an effective option to protect the liver as well as other tissues during chemotherapy. Although some evidence suggest that taurine might potentiate anticancer effects of chemotherapy agents (90), further investigation, especially on tumor-bearing animal models, are required to exclude the fact that taurine and/or glycine may not affect the therapeutic efficacy of the antineoplastic drugs. In the absence of such investigations, despite the tremendous protective effects of these amino acids against chemotherapy-induced liver injury in the current investigation, the potential therapeutic efficacy of taurine and glycine as an adjuvant in cancer chemotherapy cannot be drawn.

# Acknowledgements

The authors thank Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center (PSRC) of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences for providing technical facilities to carry out this investigation. This paper was derived from the Pharm.D. theses for Hamdolah Azizi and Farshad Safari, which was financially supported from the office of the Vice Chancellor of Research Affairs of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (Grant number: 94-01-36-9535).

# **Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

# **5. References**

1. Bissell DM, Gores GJ, Laskin DL, Hoofnagle JH. Drug-induced liver injury: Mechanisms and test systems. *Hepatology* 2001;33:1009-13.

.....

2. El-Sayyad HI, Ismail MF, Shalaby FM, Abou-El-Magd RF, Gaur RL, Fernando A, Raj MHG, Ouhtit A. Histopathological effects of cisplatin, doxorubicin and 5-flurouracil (5-FU) on the liver of male albino rats. *Int J Biol Sci.* 2009;5:466-73.

3. Khan AZ, Morris-Stiff G, Makuuchi M. Patterns of chemotherapy-induced hepatic injury and their implications for patients undergoing liver resection for colorectal liver metastases. *JJ Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg.* 2009;16:137-44.

4. Thatishetty AV, Agresti N, O'Brien CB. Chemotherapy-induced hepatotoxicity. *Clin Liver Dis.* 2013;17:671-86.

5. Bast RC, Kufe DW, Pollock RE, Weichselbaum RR, Holland JF, Frei E, DeLeve LD, others, Liver Function and Hepatotoxicity in Cancer. Cancer Medicine. 5th edition. Chapter 151. BC Decker Inc.2000.

6. Erman F, Tuzcu M, Orhan C, Sahin N, Sahin K. Effect of lycopene against cisplatin-induced acute renal injury in rats: organic anion and cation transporters evaluation. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 2014;158:90-5.

7. Nematbakhsh M, Ashrafi F, Pezeshki Z, Fatahi Z, Kianpoor F, Sanei M-H, Talebi A. A histopathological study of nephrotoxicity, hepatoxicity or testicular toxicity: Which one is the first observation as side effect of Cisplatin-induced toxicity in animal model? *J Nephropathol.* 2012;1:190-3.

Henninger C, Huelsenbeck S, Wenzel P, Brand M, Huelsenbeck J, Schad A, Fritz G. Chronic heart damage following doxorubicin treatment is alleviated by lovastatin. *Pharmacol Res.* 2015;91:47-56.
 King PD, Perry MC. Hepatotoxicity of Chemotherapy. *The Oncologist.* 2001;6:162-76.

10. Kufe DW, Pollock RE, Weichselbaum RR, Bast RC, Gansler TS, Holland JF, Frei E, DeLeve LD, others, Hepatotoxicity by Anticancer Therapy. Cancer Medicine. 6th edition. BC Decker Inc.2003.

11. Kandutsch S, Klinger M, Hacker S, Wrba F, Gruenberger B, Gruenberger T. Patterns of hepatotoxicity after chemotherapy for colorectal cancer liver metastases. *Europ J Surg Oncol.* 2008;34:1231-6.

12. Griner PF, Elbadawi A, Packman CH. Venoocclusive disease of the liver after chemotherapy of acute leukemia: report of two cases. *Ann Intern Med.* 1976;85:578-82.

13. Rubbia-Brandt L, Audard V, Sartoretti P, Roth AD, Brezault C, Le Charpentier M, Dousset B, Morel P, Soubrane O, Chaussade S, others. Severe hepatic sinusoidal obstruction associated with oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Ann Oncol.* 2004;15:460-6. 14. Zorzi D, Laurent A, Pawlik TM, Lauwers GY, Vauthey JN, Abdalla EK. Chemotherapy-associated hepatotoxicity and surgery for colorectal liver metastases. *Br J Surg.* 2007;94:274-86.

15. De Jonge ME, Huitema ADR, Beijnen JH, Rodenhuis S. High exposures to bioactivated cyclophosphamide are related to the occurrence of veno-occlusive disease of the liver following highdose chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer*. 2006;94:1226-30. 16. Frosch PJ, Czarnetzki BM, Macher E, Grundmann E, Gottschalk I. Hepatic failure in a patient treated with dacarbazine (DTIC) for malignant melanoma. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol*. 1979;95:281-6. 17. McDonald GB, Slattery JT, Bouvier ME, Ren S, Batchelder AL, Kalhorn TF, Schoch HG, Anasetti C, Gooley T. Cyclophosphamide metabolism, liver toxicity, and mortality following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Blood*. 2003;101:2043-8.

18. Lourenco R, Camilo ME, others. Taurine: a conditionally essential amino acid in humans? An overview in health and disease. *Nutr Hosp.* 2002;17:262-70.

19. Huxtable RJ. Physiological actions of taurine. *Physiol rev* 1992;72:101-63.

20. Pasantes-Morales H, Quesada O, Morán J. Taurine: an osmolyte in mammalian tissues. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 1998;442:209-17.

21. Atmaca G. Antioxidant effects of sulfur-con-

taining amino acids. Yonsei Med J. 2004;45;776-88.

22. Oja SS, Saransaari P. Pharmacology of taurine. *Proc West Pharmacol Soc.* 2007;50:8-15.

23. Islambulchilar M, Asvadi I, Sanaat Z, Esfahani A, Sattari M. Taurine attenuates chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Amino acids*. 2015;47:101-9.

24. Motawi TK, Abd Elgawad HM, Shahin NN. Modulation of indomethacin-induced gastric injury by spermine and taurine in rats. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol*. 2007;21:280-8.

25. Oja SS, Saransaari P. Taurine and epilepsy. *Epilepsy Res.* 2013;104:187-94.

26. Marcinkiewicz J, Kontny E. Taurine and inflammatory diseases. *Amino Acids*. 2014;46:7-20. 27. Timbrell JA, Seabra V, Waterfield CJ. The *in vivo* and *in vitro* protective properties of taurine. *Gen Pharmacol Vasc S*. 1995;26:453-62.

28. Das J, Roy A, Sil PC. Mechanism of the protective action of taurine in toxin and drug induced organ pathophysiology and diabetic complications: a review. *Food Function*. 2012;3:1251-64.

29. Das J, Ghosh J, Manna P, Sil PC. Acetaminophen induced acute liver failure via oxidative stress and JNK activation: Protective role of taurine by the suppression of cytochrome P450 2E1. *Free Rad Res.* 2010;44:340-55.

30. Heidari R, Babaei H, Eghbal MA. Amodiaquine-induced toxicity in isolated rat hepatocytes and the cytoprotective effects of taurine and/or Nacetyl cysteine. *Res Pharm Sci.* 2014;9:97-105.

31. Heidari R, Babaei H, Eghbal MA. Ameliorative Effects of Taurine Against Methimazole-Induced Cytotoxicity in Isolated Rat Hepatocytes. *Sci Pharm.* 2012;80:987-99.

32. Bo-gelmez p, Soylemezoolu T, Guvendik G. The Protective and Antidotal Effects of Taurine on Hexavalent Chromium-Induced Oxidative Stress in Mice Liver Tissue. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 2008;125:46-58.

33. Das J, Ghosh J, Manna P, Sil PC. Taurine protects acetaminophen-induced oxidative damage in mice kidney through APAP urinary excretion and CYP2E1 inactivation. *Toxicology* 2010;269:24-34.
34. Dinçer S, Özenirler S, Öz E, Akyol G, Özoğul C. The protective effect of taurine pretreatment on carbon tetrachloride-induced hepatic damage-A light and electron microscopic study. *Amino Acids* . 2002;22:417-26.

35. Doğru-Abbasoğlu S, Kanbağli O, Balkan J,

Cevikbaş U, Aykaç-Toker G, Uysal M. The protective effect of taurine against thioacetamide hepatotoxicity of rats. *Hum Exp Toxicol*. 2001;20:23-27.

36. Ghosh M, Pal S, Sil PC. Taurine attenuates nano-copper-induced oxidative hepatic damage via mitochondria-dependent and NF- $\kappa$ B/TNF- $\alpha$ -mediated pathway. *Toxicol Res.* 2014;3:474-86.

37. Hagar HH. The protective effect of taurine against cyclosporine A-induced oxidative stress and hepatotoxicity in rats. *Toxicol Lett.* 2004;151:335-43.

38. Heidari R, Babaei H, Eghbal MA. Cytoprotective effects of taurine against toxicity induced by isoniazid and hydrazine in isolated rat hepatocytes. *Arch Ind Hyg Toxicol.* 2013;64:201-10.

39. Jagadeesan G, Pillai SS. Hepatoprotective effects of taurine against mercury induced toxicity in rat. *J Envir Biol.* 2007;28:753-6.

40. Kerai MD, Waterfield CJ, Kenyon SH, Asker DS, Timbrell JA. Taurine: protective properties against ethanol-induced hepatic steatosis and lipid peroxidation during chronic ethanol consumption in rats. *Amino Acids*. 1998;15:53-76.

41. Koyama I, Nakamura T, Ogasawara M, Nemoto M, Yoshida T, The protective effect of taurine on the biomembrane against damage produced by the oxygen radical, in Taurine. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 1992;315:355-9.

42. You JS, Chang KJ. Taurine protects the liver against lipid peroxidation and membrane disintegration during rat hepatocarcinogenesis. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 1998;442:105-12.

43. Shao A, Hathcock JN. Risk assessment for the amino acids taurine, l-glutamine and l-arginine. *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2008;50:376-99.

44. Kibayashi E, Yokogoshi H, Mizue H, Miura K, Yoshita K, Nakagawa H, Naruse Y, Sokejima S, Kagamimori S. Daily dietary taurine intake in Japan. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2000;483:137-42.

45. Zhao X, Jia J, Lin Y. Taurine content in Chinese food and daily intake of Chinese men. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 1998;442:501-5.

46. Bruns H, Watanpour I, Gebhard M, Flechtenmacher C, Galli U, Schulze-Bergkamen H, Zorn M, Buechler MW, Schemmer P. Glycine and Taurine Equally Prevent Fatty Livers from Kupffer Cell-Dependent Injury: An *In Vivo* Microscopy Study. *Microcirculation*. 2011;18:205-13.

47. Pal PB, Pal S, Das J, Sil PC. Modulation of mercury-induced mitochondria-dependent apop-

tosis by glycine in hepatocytes. *Amino Acids*. 2011;42:1669-83.

48. Chen C-Y, Wang B-T, Wu Z-C, Yu W-T, Lin P-J, Tsai W-L, Shiesh S-C. Glycine ameliorates liver injury and vitamin D deficiency induced by bile duct ligation. *Clin Chim Acta*. 2013;420:150-4. 49. Weinberg JM, Roeser NF, Davis JA, Ven-katachalam MA. Kidney International - Abstract of article: Glycine-protected, hypoxic, proximal tubules develop severely compromised energetic function. *Kidney Int*. 1997;52:140-51.

50. Wheeler MD, Rose ML, Yamashima S, Enomoto N, Seabra V, Madren J, Thurman RG. Dietary glycine blunts lung inflammatory cell influx following acute endotoxin. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* 2000;279:L390-8.

51. Warnecke G, Schulze B, Steinkamp T, Haverich A, Klima U. Glycine application and right heart function in a porcine heart transplantation model. *Transplant Int.* 2006;19:218-24.

52. Sommer S-P, Sommer S, Sinha B, Leyh RG. Glycine preconditioning to ameliorate pulmonary ischemia reperfusion injury in rats. *Interact Car-diovasc Thorac Surg.* 2012;14:521-5.

53. Carini R, Alchera E, Baldanzi G, Piranda D, Splendore R, De Cesaris MG, Caraceni P, Graziani A, Albano E. Role of p38 map kinase in glycine-induced hepatocyte resistance to hypoxic injury. J *Hepatol.* 2007;46:692-9.

54. Shaikh ZA, Tang W. Protection against chronic cadmium toxicity by glycine. *Toxicology*. 1999;132:139-46.

55. Ingawale DK, Mandlik SK, Naik SR. Models of hepatotoxicity and the underlying cellular, biochemical and immunological mechanism(s): A critical discussion. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2014;37:118-33.

56. Wolkoff AW, Johansen KL, Goeser T. The isolated perfused rat liver: preparation and application. *Analyt Biochem.* 1987;167:1-14.

57. Ferrigno A, Richelmi P, Vairetti M. Troubleshooting and improving the mouse and rat isolated perfused liver preparation. *J Pharmacol Toxicol Meth.* 2012;67:107-14.

58. Bessems M, Tolba R, Doorschodt BM, Leuvenink HGD, Ploeg RJ, Minor T, van Gulik TM, others. The isolated perfused rat liver: standardization of a time-honoured model. *Lab Anim*. 2006;40:236-46.

59. Gupta R, Dubey DK, Kannan GM, Flora SJS.

Concomitant administration of Moringa oleifera seed powder in the remediation of arsenicinduced oxidative stress in mouse. *Cell Biol Int* 2007;31;44-56.

60. Socci DJ, Bjugstad KB, Jones HC, Pattisapu JV, Arendash GW. Evidence that oxidative stress is associated with the pathophysiology of inherited hydrocephalus in the H-Tx rat model. *Exp Neurol*. 1999;155:109-17.

61. Heidari R, Taheri V, Rahimi HR, Yeganeh BS, Niknahad H, Najibi A. Sulfasalazine-induced renal injury in rats and the protective role of thiol-reductants. *Ren Fail.* 2016;38:137-41.

62. Sedlak J, Lindsay R. Estimation of total, protein-bound, and nonprotein sulfhydryl groups in tissue with Ellman's reagent. *Anal Biochem.* 1968;25:192-205.

63. Heidari R, Babaei H, Roshangar L, Eghbal MA. Effects of Enzyme Induction and/or Glutathione Depletion on Methimazole-Induced Hepatotoxicity in Mice and the Protective Role of N-Acetylcysteine. *Adv Pharm Bull.* 2014;4:21-8.

64. Heidari R, Jamshidzadeh A, Keshavarz N, Azarpira N. Mitigation of Methimazole-Induced Hepatic Injury by Taurine in Mice. *Sci Pharm.* 2015;83:143-58.

65. Uchiyama M, Mihara M. Determination of malonaldehyde precursor in tissues by thiobarbituric acid test. *Analyt Biochem.* 1978;86:271-8.

66. Jamshidzadeh A, Heidari R, Razmjou M, Karimi F, Moein MR, Farshad O, Akbarizadeh AR, Shayesteh MRH. An *in vivo* and *in vitro* investigation on hepatoprotective effects of Pimpinella anisum seed essential oil and extracts against carbon tetrachloride-induced toxicity. *Iran J Bas Med Sci*. 2015;18:205-11.

67. Alía M, Horcajo C, Bravo L, Goya L. Effect of grape antioxidant dietary fiber on the total antioxidant capacity and the activity of liver antioxidant enzymes in rats. *Nut Res.* 2003;23:1251-67.

68. Jamshidzadeh A, Heidari R, Mohammadi-Samani S, Azarpira N, Najbi A, Jahani P, Abdoli N. A Comparison between the Nephrotoxic Profile of Gentamicin and Gentamicin Nanoparticles in Mice. *J Biochem Mol Toxicol*. 2015;29:57-62.

69. Schuller-Levis GB, Park E. Taurine: new implications for an old amino acid. *Microbiol Lett.* 2003;226:195-202.

70. Fang Y-J, Chiu C-H, Chang Y-Y, Chou C-H, Lin H-W, Chen M-F, Chen Y-C. Taurine amelio-

rates alcoholic steatohepatitis via enhancing selfantioxidant capacity and alcohol metabolism. *Food Res Int.* 2011;44:3105-10.

71. Miyazaki T, Matsuzaki Y. Taurine and liver diseases: a focus on the heterogeneous protective properties of taurine. *Amino Acids*. 2012;46:101-10. 72. Parvez S, Tabassum H, Banerjee BD, Raisuddin S. Taurine Prevents Tamoxifen-Induced Mitochondrial Oxidative Damage in Mice. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2008;102:382-7.

73. Alhumaidha KA, Saleh DO, Abd El Fattah MA, El-Eraky WI, Moawad H. Cardiorenal protective effect of taurine against cyclophosphamide-induced toxicity in albino rats. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol.* 2015:1-9.

74. Miyazaki T, Bouscarel B, Ikegami T, Honda A, Matsuzaki Y, The protective effect of taurine against hepatic damage in a model of liver disease and hepatic stellate cells. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2009;643:293-303.

75. Timbrell JA, Seabra V, Waterfield CJ. The *in vivo* and *in vitro* protective properties of taurine. *Gen Pharmacol.* 1995;26:453-62.

76. Reid JM, Kuffel MJ, Miller JK, Rios R, Ames MM. Metabolic activation of dacarbazine by human cytochromes P450: the role of CYP1A1, CYP1A2, and CYP2E1. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1999;5:192-7. 77. DeLeve LD. Dacarbazine toxicity in murine liver cells: a model of hepatic endothelial injury and glutathione defense. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1994;268:1261-70.

78. Tripathi DN, Jena GB. Astaxanthin intervention ameliorates cyclophosphamide-induced oxidative stress, DNA damage and early hepato-carcinogenesis in rat: role of Nrf2, p53, p38 and phase-II enzymes. *Mutat Res.* 2010;696:69-80.

79. Lu Y, Cederbaum AI. Cisplatin-Induced Hepatotoxicity Is Enhanced by Elevated Expression of Cytochrome P450 2E1. *Toxicol Sci.* 2006;89:515-23. 80. Duthie SJ, Grant MH. The role of reductive and oxidative metabolism in the toxicity of mitoxantrone, adriamycin and menadione in human liver derived Hep G2 hepatoma cells. *Br J Cancer*. 1989;60:566-71.

81. Gürer H, Ozgünes H, Saygin E, Ercal N. Antioxidant effect of taurine against lead-induced oxidative stress. *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol.* 2001;41:397-402.

82. Nandhini ATA, Thirunavukkarasu V, Ravichandran MK, Anuradha CV. Effect of taurine on biomarkers of oxidative stress in tissues of fructose-fed insulin-resistant rats. *Singapore Med J*. 2005;46:82-7.

83. Parildar-Karpuzoğlu H, Mehmetçik G, Ozdemirler-Erata G, Doğru-Abbasoğlu S, Koçak-Toker N, Uysal M. Effect of taurine treatment on pro-oxidant-antioxidant balance in livers and brains of old rats. *Pharmacol Rep.* 2008;60:673-8. 84. Schaffer SW, Azuma J, Mozaffari M. Role of antioxidant activity of taurine in diabetes. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol.* 2009;87:91-9.

85. Schaffer S, Azuma J, Takahashi K, Mozaffari M, Why is taurine cytoprotective? *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2003;526:307-21.

86. Zhong Z, Jones S, Thurman RG. Glycine minimizes reperfusion injury in a low-flow, reflow liver perfusion model in the rat. *Am J Physiol*. 1996;270:G332-8.

87. Yin M, Ikejima K, Arteel GE, Seabra V, Bradford BU, Kono H, Rusyn I, Thurman RG. Glycine accelerates recovery from alcohol-induced liver injury. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 1998;286;1014-9.

88. Xu FL, You HB, Li XH, Chen XF, Liu ZJ, Gong JP. Glycine attenuates endotoxin-induced liver injury by downregulating TLR4 signaling in Kupffer cells. *Am J Surg.* 2008;196:139-48.

89. Mikalauskas S, Mikalauskiene L, Bruns H, Nickkholgh A, Hoffmann K, Longerich T, Strupas K, Büchler MW, Schemmer P. Dietary glycine protects from chemotherapy-induced hepatotoxicity. *Amino Acids*. 2010;40:1139-50.

90. Kim T, Kim AK, Taurine enhances anticancer activity of cisplatin in human cervical cancer cells. *Adv Exp Med Biol.* 2013;776:189-98.

91. Desai TK, Maliakkal J, Kinzie JL, Ehrinpreis MN, Luk GD, Cejka J. Taurine deficiency after intensive chemotherapy and/or radiation. *Am J Clin Nutr*: 1992;55:708-11.

92. Savarese DMF, Savy G, Vahdat L, Wischmeyer PE, Corey B. Prevention of chemotherapy and radiation toxicity with glutamine. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2003;29:501-13.